G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1920

(Mugrai)

File No. 66

Serial Nos 1-6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 22

SUBJECT.

Revision of the scale of expenditure in the Sri Lakshmi Kantheswami temple at Kalale in the Nanjangud Taluk.

D.C Mysore,
Comptroller
Mug Supdt } No. 5000-2 dt 15-3-22

Sanctions the

WD 1688—GPB—16,926—23-7-21

NS Gopalaiengar

G. R. S. III—1] 1920-21.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscellaneous)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Petition of Venkatakrishna gois, karavandar of the Sri Lakshmikantaswami temple at Kalale, Nanjangud Taluk

From

Venkatakrishna gois of Kalale, Nanjangud Taluk.

No. Petition Dated 24-7-20. Received 26-7-20.

Diary No. 233	Enclos. — Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that in G. O. No. 3296-98/Mis-581-18-2d. 17-4-19 sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure in the above temple the posts of Kedapanayana, Panchanga and Rajasimha have been clubbed together and that though he is the hakdar and karavandar of the said appointments getting

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Rs. 1-4-0 per month he was paid only annas eight being the remuneration attached to the Panchanga post & the balance of annas 12 was under orders of the D.C. given to another person named Srinivasanagaracharu, and prays that the full amount may be ordered to be paid to him.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mvg. File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

The three posts of Vedaparayana, Panchanga and Rajasevaka are joined together as in the proposed scale as stated by the petitioners and an allowance of Rs. 1-4-0 per month is fixed for the same.

Submitted for orders as to whether the petition may be forwarded to the D. G. for report.

MAR.

7.8.20.

The R.M. may be sent to the D.C. for report

BG

9.8.20

Please furnish draft D.M. to ... Dittos 5 Yours ... Ditto BG 9 8 20

Submitted with draft D. M. and endt

MAR.

11.8.20. BG

11/8/20

For orders

This temple has been treated as a Major one?

(open) Asst 26.8.20

Could have made of the delay

VJ 28/8/20

2.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

17 3

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mg. File No. 66 of 1920.

Serial No. 3

Papers disposed

From The Deputy Collector Nagapattinam

No. 4-142 of 20 dt. 24.2.21.

I submit the report called for in M. 2 above regarding the prayer of Paramandar Venkatakrishna Jeer of the above temple for enhanced remuneration.

The Dy Collector reports that the petitioner Venkatakrishna Jeer who is a Smartha Brahmin has been entrusted only with the duties of Rigveda Parayana and Panchanga in the above temple while the duties of Yajur Veda Parayana and Rajasirvada have been assigned to one Srinivasaraga vachan, a Sri Vaishnava Brahmin as it is. The offices have from time immemorial been held by Smartha Brahmins and the other two offices by Sri Vaishnava Brahmins, and requests sanction to his proposal to split up the posts into two so as to put an end to further disputes in the matter and states that in giving effect to the above proposal no alteration need be made in the sanctioned scale.

I solicit your orders. Whether the Dy Coll's recommendation may be sanctioned

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

RDV 5.3.21

Agreed

5/3/21

For orders

5-3-21

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mvz. File No. 66 of 1920.

Serial No.

Re complaint [illegible]
[illegible] Ca Cases & Re [illegible]
Veda Parayana [illegible]

Vide S. 3.

The petitioner Venkata Krishna Jois has complained in his petition that till May 1920 he was looking after all the three duties of Rajasuvada, Panchanga & Rigveda and getting an allowance of Rs. 1-4-0 p.m. as ordered by the Amildar & Sub-Division officer in accordance with the revised scale that this arrangement was altered by the D.C. in the month of June that in the revised scale no distinction is made between Rig Veda and Yajur Veda but it is only stated Vedaparayana in general in addition to Panchanga and Rajasuvada. that though formerly there existed the 2 divisions of Rig Veda and Yajur Veda, in the new scale they were combined into the one single post of Vedaparayana & assigned to the Karanikam in charge of Rig Veda, which that the Panchanga & Rig Veda posts have been the hereditary [illegible] dari of his family from generation to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwj. File No. 66 of 19,

Serial No.

generation, that he has been unjustly deprived of his full pay of Re. 1-4-0 per month by the Paupathigan by a portion being given to another man, and prays that all the 3½ posts of Baramandar of Vedaparayana, Panchanga & Rajadimada may be confirmed in his name as per ordered in the revised scale and that an endorsement to that effect may be issued to him in the matter.

Submitted for orders.

MV

8.3.21. 8/3/21

Seen. 10.3.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

There are three
Vedic pauses —
Items 6, 7 & 8 in
the revised Scale
What is the difference
between them

12/3/21

12/3/21

Sec. I
Pg 3
Ended

It is ascertained from the Mus-
real

Narayan Upadhye's office that the
3 Vedaparayanas mentioned to in the
revised scale relate to the Atharvana Veda, Rig Veda and Yajur Ve.
mentioned in the existing scales this is

12.3.21 SK 12/3/21

24.3.21

Idem)

22/3

In the Race Path of 6th Oct. 1899
there were three distinct barawars
for Rigveda, Yajurveda and
Atharvanaveda - At the time
proposals when the proposals for
a revision of the Race were
formulated the path of
Yajurveda was in a Cam-
certain Combination of Eng-

was made with a view
to reduce the number of
ill-paid servants and
to retain such men as were
absolutely necessary for
the proper Conduct of
worship — Three Veda Parayana
men - for Yajurveda,
Rigveda & Atharvana Veda
were appointed in place
of 3 Varawardars noted
over-leaf. It is no-where
stated that Venkata Krishna
Jois Named recite Yajurveda
in addition to Rigveda
which is his permanent
Varawarad. The other two
Veda Parayana Varawardars
Named as a matter of
Course recite Yajurveda
and Atharvana Veda and it is with this small increase were allowed to their posts.
The ~~Complaint~~ allegation
that the recital of
Yajurveda has been assigned
to a Lucrative & gratuitous —

There appears to be no
particular objection to a
reciting Rajasuriveda
Varawardar in the temple — Vishnu

In fact there has been no karavaradar for this post also. The arrangement was that Venkata Krishna Jois should look after the duties of Rajasi Vada and pay 4 As out of the ~~salary~~ amount fixed therefor to one Varasamma, wife of the late karavaradar, till her death. The claim of Srinivasa Raghava Char seems to be ~~untable~~ untenable on the facts that he is not a resident of Kalale and ~~that~~ there is no guarantee that he will permanently stay there even now. If at all he has claim for Rajavaidya nowkar and ~~for~~ no matter of connection with the Rajasirvada Post.

(Vide L.D. Order D/6.2.20)

(Vide Amildar's report No. 61 D/20.9.19)

S.C.

8/4/21.

Please state
below the names of the
holders of the following
offices immediately before
the Scale was revised
in 1919:—

Atharvana Veda.
Rig Veda (V. Jois)
Yajur Veda (Vacant)
Rajasuyadhikara (Vacant)
Panchama

2. The Vedas referred to in
each of the items 6, 7 & 8
in the merged Scale are
which is Atharvana Veda
& which is Yajur Veda?

3. Names of the Pandits
[illegible] items 6, 7 & 8
before and after the
revision of 1919?

Up 18/4/42

At Thakkalam is sent up.

The vernacular records have been again carefully studied. Feeling seems to be very high against a Kumarika reciting Rajasirvada in a Vishnu temple, notwithstanding the fact that there is no Shastric objection, and the Dharmadarsis have also resolved against it. In view of the above Rajasirvada post may be added to the duties to be attended to by Venkatakrishna. Jois may be eliminated together with the remuneration of Rs 8 originally fixed therefor. As recommended by the V.D.O one man for Rig Veda and Panchanga on Rs 12 and another for Rajasirvada on Rs 8 may be appointed. This will leave the claim of Kadambi Srinivasa Raghavachar for Rajasirveda and he may be asked to establish his claim for the post by a separate suit.

Submd.

27/4/24 Draft is personally satisfactory

30/4

	according to Sasana Pakti of 6.10.99.	Immediately before the revision of scale in 1919.
Atharvana Veda.	Raghavachar.	Raghavachar? (acting Ramaswamy)
Rig Veda	Thanicaya.	Venkatalakshmamma Thanicaya Jois. — per transfer under service rules
Yajur Veda	Kadambi Gopalachar — (Died on 13-12-16)	Vacant (Now claimed by Kadambi Srinivasa Raghavachar)
Rajasirvasa	Srinivasamma (acting Venkata Desikachar)	Srinivasamma (acting Tata Desikachar)
Panchanga	Venkatasubba Jois —	Venkatasubba Jois — Barawardar before the revision of 1919. — Barawardar after the revision of 1919.

Sl. No. 6. Shanik, Vedaparagana mantrapushpa.

Sl. No. 7 — do —

Vedaparagana, Panchanga & Rajasirvada. (Rigveda)

(Information not available in the records)

The Dharmadarsi of the temple are agreeable to the appointments being split up as follows: —

Existing.			Proposed.		
No.	Designation	Par.	No.	Designation	Par.
1	Stanik, Vedaparayana & Mantrapushpa	1 8 -	1	Yajurveda, Rajasirvada & Agama Purohit	1 - -
2	— do —	1 8 -	2	Allowance to Rajasirvada barawadas Siriwasamma	- 4 -
3	Vedaparayana, Panchanga & Rajasirvada	1 4 -	3	Stanik, Atharvanaveda & mantrapushpa	1 - -
			4	Stanik, Samaveda & mantrapushpa	1 - -
			5	Rigveda & Panchanga	1 - -
Rs.	4	4 -	Rs	4	4 -

The readjustments proposed do not involve any addl. cost.

MG

23/12/21

Dharmadarsi, Temple work

Vidyasekara Mudaliyar, Kg.

30/12/21

13

The arrangements seem
to be satisfactory from all
points of view and will not — also
in any way interfere with the vested rights
~~interests~~ of any —

20/12 26/12/22

Note by the
DC, and put up
the files in the
proposal made
above 26.12.22

Draft Letter
7.1.22.

4.1.23

Sir. 4. To The Dy: Comm: Mysore
no: 3843 of 23rd Jan 22
Calls for his opinion in
the proposed modification in
sanctioned scale of expenditure

Pre-Hindu	Remarks	New	To whom entrusted
(1) Atharvana Veda 0-8-0		~~Atharva Veda~~ (vacant) Continued upto end Fr 1-4-0	
(2) Rig Veda 0-4-0			
(3) Yajur Veda (vacant) 0-4-0			
(4) Rajasuya 0-8-0			
(5) Panchama - 0-4-0			
1-12-0			

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwz. File No. 66 of 1920.

Serial No	
5	From the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt. No. 6.142 of 20-21 d. 7.3.22. states that he approves of the modifications proposed in Dt.s above. Submits for orders with a draft ord thereon.

The L. Dept. Asst. Secy Please
Sd/ 7.3.22

Sd/ 7.3.22

7.3.22

(Second Member) VJ

Draft approved
Sd/
10.3.22

Issue
VJ. 10.3.22

4725-149
9.3.22

6	To The Dy: Commr, Mysore District Complaints to Govt Mujrai Rights in Rs. no: 5000-29. 15th June

Sanctions a recurring Grant of expenditure in the Sri Lakshmi Kantha Swami Devasthana Kalale in the Nanjangud Taluk

NOTE—Contn. sheet

17

DIARY No. 2...

20-7-20
Rec'd
Sg

WD 023—GPB—600—10 4-16

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI SUPERINTENDENT
IN MYSORE.

NOTE

SUBJECT

Petition of Venkatakrishnaiah of Kalale Temple.

States that in the Revised Scale as sanctioned in G.O.No 3296-98 dtd 581-18-2 of 17.4.19 Vedaparayana, Panchanga & Rajasirvada are clubbed as one appointment & that he is the Archaka & the Varavarabba of the said appointment getting 1/4/- amount

But in the month of June 20 he was given only the remuneration attached to the Panchanga post viz of 8/- & the balance of of 12/- was given to another Srivaishnava Archaka, & this was as stated by the petitioner As per orders the Dy Com.

Prays that he may be ~~recognised~~ paid for as per sanctioned Scale.

May this be sent to Dy Com for disposal

26.7.20

18

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. Inzai 66-20-2.

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 233 dated 24-7-20

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from one Venkatakrishna Jois, Archakan of the Sri Lakshmikantaswami temple at Kasaba, Kanjanagud Taluk, praying that a sum of Rs. 1-4-0 being the remuneration attached to the posts of Vedapanayana, Panchanga and Rajasimhada is due to him. He was paid only the remuneration of the Panchanga post and praying that the full remuneration due to all the three posts may be paid to him,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~,

to the Deputy Comr., Mysore-Bangalore Dt., for report.

for

By Order,

28/8/20

Secretary to Govt.
Inzai Dept.

BANGALORE,
191 .

24
11/8/20

W D 882 -GPB—1 000—10 11 15

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ~~ಹೆಸರು ವಿಳಾಸ~~ ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಯ ಮೌಲ್ಯ ~~ರೂಪಾಯಿ~~ ಪೈಸೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮನಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮೂಲಕ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಅಂಚೆ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ~~ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ~~ ಅಥವಾ ~~ತಲುಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ~~

~~ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ~~ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ 24.7.20 ರ

ದಿನಾಂಕದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

~~ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.~~

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary to Govt,
Municipal Dept.

11/8/20

1920-21.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary
to The Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai
department, Bangalore

Dated 23rd February 1921 REV. SEC.

Despatched 24th February 1921.

Received 25 FEB 1921

General No. Ref. C. No. 602 of 1920-21
No.

Enclosure No. one file.

Submitting a report on the petition of Venkatakrishnayya praying for enhanced remuneration as per revised scale in the Sri Lakshmi-Kantheswara Swamy temple at Kalale Nanjangud Taluk.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
Mysore District.

20

Dated, Mysore, 20th February 1921.
23rd.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

T.S. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.956/Muz.66-20-2 dated 8th September 1920 calling for a report on the petition of Venkatakrishna Jois praying for enhanced remuneration as per revised scale of expenditure, for certain offices in the Sri Lakshmikanteswara Swami Temple at Kalale, Nanjangud Taluk, I have the honor to state that in the revision of the scale of expenditure in the above temple sanctioned in Government Order No.3296-98/Muz.581-18-2 dated 17th April 1919, a provision of 1-4-0 has been made for the offices Vedaparayana, Pancharga and Rajasirvada (Vide item No.8 under I Establishment). The Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud reports that from time immemorial, the offices of Rigvedaparayana and Pancharga are being held by the Smarthas and Yajurvedaparayana and Rajasirvada by the Srivyshnavas.

As these three offices cannot under the above circumstances be entrusted to a single individual, it is reported that the petitioner Venkatakrishna Jois is entrusted with only Rigvedaparayana and Pancharga duties and that the duties of Yajurveda and Rajasir-
are assigned to one Srinivasaraghavachar, a Srivaishnava Brahmin
been the cause of dissatisfaction and the present complaint
Jois.

In view of putting a stop to the further disputes in the matter, the Dharmadarsis of the Temple, the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer, propose that the offices under dispute be split up into two viz, (1) Rigvedaparayana and Pancharga to be held by a smartha on a monthly remuneration of 0-8-0 and (2) Yajurveda and Rajasirvada to be held by a Sri Vyshnava on a monthly pay of 0-12-0 as in vogue for several years past.

The above proposal seems reasonable and can therefore be accepted, as it does not in any way make a difference in the scale.

I therefore request that you will be so good as to cause suitable ammendment being made in respect of the offices above referred to.

The verracular file of correspondence on the subject ending with Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's Report No.R.O.C.327/19-20 dated 31st December 1920 is submitted herewith for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient serv

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

A.N.

22

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section 8.

File No. 65-20

Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191 .

No. 3843

Bangalore

Date of issue 23-1-22

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore Dt.

Signed by Mrs. J. E. J. Stys

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Ref. C. 142 of 20-21 of 23.2.1921, submitting a report on the behn. of Venkatakrishna Jois of Kalale, I am directed to state that the following modifications in the Sanctioned Scale of Expenditure ~~[illegible]~~ of the Sri Lakshmi-Ramakrishna Ammi temple

[illegible] :—

over.

GVKD 16-1

Existing		Proposed.	
(1) Skanik, Vedaparayana & Mantrapushpa	1-8-0.	(1) Yajurveda, Rajasirvada & Agama Purohit	1-0-0
(2) — do —	1-8-0.	(2) Allowance to Rajasirvada Varanasi dar Srikrishnama during her life-time	0-4-
(3) Vedaparayana, Panchanga and Rajasirvada.	1-4-0	(3) Skanik, Atharvanaveda & mantrapushpa	1-0-
Rs 4-4-0 a month		(4) Skanik, Sama Veda & mantrapushpa	1-0-0
		(5) Rig veda & Panchanga.	1-0
		Rs 4-4- a month	

The Dharma darsi of the temple was of opinion that the proposals are agreeable to these proposals and Request the favour of your opinion in the matter.

(Yes the Muzrai Dept to submit these proposals for the sanction of Govt)

2. Enclosures to your letter under reply and Correspondence ending with report No. 129 dt 12.12.21 from the Assistant Commissioner, Nagapatnam Dk, are returned for reference & necessary action.

Thanks &c.

DBK)

Muzrai Secy

7.1.22

7/1/22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—3,000—19-3-19

3737

19E/22

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To 66-20-5

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 22nd March 1922

Despatched 23rd March 1922

Received

General No. Respecting appointment of

No.

Enclosure No. 6 papers

Submits for sanction, certain recommendations in the matter of Scale of Expenditure of Pakshavani Kavlu at Melkote,

Refe. No. 142 Muz 22

24

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore, 2nd March 1922.

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner. Mysore District.

To

.Jayarama Iyer, Esq.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Muzrai Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.3843 Muz.66-20-4 dated 23rd January 22 enquiring whether certain modifications suggested therein in respect of the sanctioned scale of expenditure of Sri Laxmikantaswamy temple at Kalale, Nanjangud taluk, need with my approval, I have the honor to reply in the affirmative.

The connected vernacular papers are retransmitted herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
For Deputy Commissioner,

25

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Jud 2
File No. 66-2
Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

, the 191 .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Read.—

Govt. Pro. No. 3296-98/No. 581-18-2 Dt/17th April 1919 sanctioning a revised Scale of expenditure in the Sri Lakshmikantheshwara Temple at Kalale, Yelandur Tq.

Correspondence ending with letter No. Ref. C. 142 of 20-21 Dt 3rd March 1922 from the Dy. Commissioner, Mysore Dt., submitting a report on the petn. of Venkatakrishna Jois, Rigveda and Panchanga Karana-

be printed?

ated as a standing order?

iblished in the Gazette English part?

translated?

placed at the disposal of the press?

published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Order Thereon:— No.

over.

Govt 3
11-3

praying for enhanced remuneration for certain offices ~~in pursuance of the revised Scale of expenditure~~ and recommending that the Scale of Appointment may be modified as in the margin.

order thereon. N°.

~~Sanctioned~~ Approved.

2. Needful Corrections should be made in the Temple Garden Bill.

DBR) 7/3/12

Secy. to Govt.,
Judicial Dept

To. 7/3/12

The Dep. Comrs., Nilgiris &c.,
Rly. Records.
" Comptroller to Govt.,
" Judicial Dept.

Existing		Proposed	
Designation	Pay	Designation	Pay
1 Shanik, Vadaparayan & Mallan Kapushya	1-8-0	1 Jajuka, Rajasiriya & Agama Purohit	1-0-0
2 — " —	1-8-0	2 Allavan & Rajasiriya & Karavan Jain Varayan during life - Nil	0-4-0
3 Vadaparayan, Pulavaya & Rajasiriya	1-4-0	3 Shanik, Mallan Kapushya & Mallan Kapushya	1-0-0
		4 Shanik, Mallan Kapushya & Samavaya	1-0-0
		5 Rig Veda & [illegible]	1-0-0

List of enclosures.

10.3.12

Copied by

Examined by